

White Collar Criminality

Lecturer **Ovidiu-Horia MAICAN**¹

Abstract

White-collar crime is not an official legal term, but the word is often used when business people are accused of committing crimes. The term dates back to the 1940s, when the words blue-collar and white-collar were used to distinguish between manual and office workers. White-collar crimes have two basic elements. They are non-violent crimes and result in financial gain for the perpetrator. Most people accused of such crimes are business people, politicians, financial professionals, etc. The phrase originated in the United States of America, where most such crimes are committed. Such crimes are taken very seriously by state and federal courts.

Keywords: *crime, white collar, United States of America, Great Britain, Canada, comparison.*

JEL Classification: *K14, K33*

DOI: 10.62768/PLPA/2025/14/2/12

Please cite this article as:

Maican, Ovidiu-Horia, „White Collar Criminality”, *Perspectives of Law and Public Administration* 14, no. 2 (June 2025): 400-423

Article History

Received: 10 April 2025

Revised: 17 May 2025

Accepted: 05 June 2025

1. Introduction

Although white-collar crimes are quite varied, most share several common characteristics. First, they involve the use of deception, rather than force or violence, to illegally obtain money, property, or services.

White-collar crimes typically involve the abuse of trust and power in official positions.

Public officials who solicit and accept bribes or corporate executives who fix prices to drive competitors out of business engage in such abuses of their positions. White-collar crimes are also often more difficult to detect than other types of crimes, in part because the losses may not be immediately apparent to victims, but also because the crimes can involve sophisticated schemes and cover-ups.

Many white-collar crimes require concerted criminal activity by accomplices.

2. General aspects

The term "white-collar crime" was first introduced by sociologist Edwin H.

¹ Ovidiu-Horia Maican - Faculty of Law, Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Romania, ovidium716@gmail.

Sutherland in 1939.²

Today the time period typically refers to financially motivated, non-violent crimes, characterised by deceit, concealment, or violation of trust.

Some common examples of white-collar crime encompass money laundering, company fraud, insider trading, tax evasion, corruption, and financial espionage, among others.

Though nonviolent, white-collar crimes can be highly hazardous to the economy, thru bankrupting businesses, ruining households by stealing their savings, or costing buyers billions of dollars.

According to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the annual cost of white-collar crime is over \$500 billion, which a ways exceeds the estimated cost of \$15 billion from non-public property crimes.³

It is estimated that approximately 36% of businesses and approximately 25% of households have been victims of white-collar crimes in latest years, compared to an 8% and 1.1% price of normal property and violent crime, respectively . Yet there is a long way extra attention being delivered to violent crimes and robberies than there is to white collar crime. According to statistics and present studies, white collar offenders are middle- or higher classification white men in their 30s or 40s, typically married and with no criminal record.

Specifically, round 80% of white-collar criminals are mens and 67% of the offenders in white-collar crimes are whites.⁴

With the extend participation in the corporate sphere, female have additionally accelerated their participation in the white-collar crime.

Non-White convictions have accounted for almost 1/2 of the white-collar crimes in 2015, with a substantial increase in the percentages of Asians and Latinos. This can be defined by way of a plenty more various population, as 39.1% of the population was non-white in 2020, compared to the Seventies when only 12.5% of the population was once non-white.

For 2020, the populace in the US as a consequence breaks down to 60.1% white, 13.4% Black, 18.5% Latino, and 5.9% Asian.⁵

The FBI's definition functionally excludes non-criminal illegal activity, as properly as such incidents as are no longer said to police.

On the different hand, the FBI's definition dovetails nicely with already-collected data, making it a realistic device for generating information on white collar crime activity.⁶

As a sensible matter, many human beings have rather casual interpretations of

² LaBrie, Rachel, "White-Collar Crime: Diversity and Discrimination in Sentencing" (2022). *Honors Projects*. 161. Seattle Pacific University, <https://digitalcommons.spu.edu/honorsprojects/161>, p. 3.

³ Ibid, p. 3.

⁴ Ibid, p. 3.

⁵ Ibid, p. 10.

⁶ Gerald Cliff & Christian Desilets, „White Collar Crime: What It Is and Where It's Going”, *Notre Dame Journal of Law, Ethics & Public Policy*, 2014, Volume 28 Issue 2, p. 485, available at: <https://scholarship.law.nd.edu/ndjlepp/vol28/iss2/3>.

the term. White collar crime can refer to: financial crimes and non-physical (or abstract) crimes, that is, crimes that “occur” on a form, balance book, or computer crime by using or focused on corporations, crimes typically dedicated with the aid of the rich. For some, this can encompass acts that are immoral, however that are now not specifically prohibited through regulation (for example, an insurance plan business enterprise mechanically targeting every policy holder who gets recognized with breast cancer).

Essentially, the whole thing however street crime Finally, many humans have a widespread experience that they comprehend what counts as white collar crime and what does not, but have no particularly and the criminal community in addressing this rapidly evolving and extraordinarily challenging discipline of criminality.⁷

Blue collar crime is expensive, costing roughly \$14 billion every year. and draws extra interest to the nightly information than dull tales of white collar happenings. Blue collar crimes are frequently very intimate and personal; a face-to-face murder, mugging, or robbery. Someone stealing credit card numbers and putting bogus costs on an account is infuriating and traumatic to deal with, however now not precisely unsafe or personal. The sufferer never interacts with the perpetrator. Unfortunately, this additionally ability the offender by no means sees the influence the crime creates, together with hours dealing with banks and credit score card companies, misplaced money, and bad future credit score rankings that affect events such as shopping for a domestic and opening savings cards. Identity theft is a silent epidemic that is visiting across the country rapidly, and will continue as long as no effort is made to cease it from happening.⁸

The Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI) is one of the main investigative corporations tasked with bringing to justice company criminals. According to the FBI webpage committed to corporate crimes, as the lead corporation investigating corporate fraud, the FBI has targeted its efforts on instances which involve accounting schemes, self-dealing by means of company executives, and obstruction of justice. The majority of corporate fraud cases pursued by means of the FBI involve accounting schemes designed to deceive investors, auditors, and analysts about the authentic economic situation of a organisation or commercial enterprise entity. Through the manipulation of monetary data, the share price, or other valuation measurements of a corporation, economic performance may additionally stay artificially inflated based on fictitious overall performance warning signs supplied to the investing public. In addition to large economic losses to investors, corporate fraud has the plausible to cause immeasurable harm to the U.S. economy and investor confidence.⁹

The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) is tasked with the compilation of

⁷ Ibid, p. 487.

⁸ Graham, Megan, "White Collar Crime and the United States' Economy" (2012). *Honors Theses and Capstones*. 49. University of New Hampshire, p. 4, <https://scholars.unh.edu/honors/49>.

⁹ Martinez, Joseph P., "Unpunished criminals: The social acceptability of white collar crimes in America" (2014). Senior Honors Theses & Projects. Eastern Michigan University 382, p. 15, <https://commons.emich.edu/honors/382>.

crime facts each yr in the Uniform Crime Report (UCR). The information compiled in the UCR is from law enforcement groups all around the United States who participate in the application for information collection. From the many different crimes pronounced to the FBI show up 2 classifications of criminal activity, being violent crimes and property crimes. Within the class of violent crimes the FBI includes incidents of: murder, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault. Within the category of property crimes the FBI consists of incidents of: burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft, and arson. The UCR is one of the nation's predominant crime summaries, used as a device for many regulation enforcement companies to direct their resources towards the most advantageous initiatives.¹⁰

With get entry to to information ranging from 1985 to the existing and in addition patches of data reaching lower back to 1960, law enforcement executives can make use of the UCR as a device to music the traits in criminal activities.

The UCR does no longer explicitly include white collar crimes as a category. Furthermore, because the FBI does no longer acquire the socioeconomic characteristics of the criminals, this research about white collar crimes ought to be done based totally on the offense, now not the characteristics of the offender. However, many crimes which are classified as white collar crimes nevertheless do show up in the UCR, just as arrest data as a substitute than crime statistics. Further, the UCR collects its facts from nearby police groups which typically are no longer responsible for the investigation of white collar criminals.¹¹

In response to these issues, the FBI commenced every other program called the National Incident Based Reporting System (NIBRS) first implemented in the country of South Carolina in 1991. The NIBRS collects more sizable facts involving crimes including: the traits of victims and offenders, descriptions of property stolen and recovered, and the traits of persons arrested in connection to the crime or incident. Since its starting it has been gaining traction in the regulation enforcement community and it ought to eventually be a answer to the lack of element in crime reporting. The most important issue which stays to be addressed is that of white collar crime remaining in part invisible in professional statistics.

In order to accumulate correct facts involving white collar crimes, federal regulation enforcement agencies want to begin their own statistics series initiatives. There are, however some data which have been gathered from a range of self document style records collection businesses such as the Internet Crime Complaint Center (ICCC).¹²

Lawmakers began to understand the social and economic effects of white collar crimes. They tried to promote a "court-organized social engineering of company compliance" movement.¹³

¹⁰ Ibid, p. 15.

¹¹ Ibid, p. 16.

¹² Ibid, p. 17.

¹³ Huynh, Daniel (2010) "Preemption v. Punishment: A Comparative Study of White Collar Crime Prosecution in the United States and the United Kingdom," *Journal of International Business and Law*: Vol. 9: Iss. 1, Article 5, pp. 105-135, p. 108. Available at: <https://scholarlycommons.law.hofstra.edu/jibl/>

In 1934, Congress established the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to be an monetary watchdog. Later, different techniques of compliance were set up and have been rather effective. RICO is used presently to prosecute white collar crimes and after the Enron scandal the United States Congress surpassed the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, setting up a higher general of accountability within corporations.

The SEC is accountable for the enforcement and law of the United States stock and securities market. The Commission is charged with regulating all businesses that preserve and exchange public stock. Formed as an impartial employer by means of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the SEC's obligations consist of imposing a number United States securities laws, such as the Sarbanes Oxley Act.¹⁴

In addition to its regular executive functions, the SEC also serves to gather monies from securities violations and disburse these redress to compensate fraud victims. Historically, the SEC has been criticized as being "unnecessary" or only "sufficiently effective."

In the 1970s, the SEC took a extra energetic function and improved their enforcement of securities law. Later in the 1980s, the SEC received recognition by focusing on the prosecution of insider trading. By the 2000s, the SEC has grown into a full enforcement corporation with the help of Congress. SEC's fundamental duties are to inspect possible violations, enforce statutes and its personal hints and alter groups that are connected to the United States stock and securities market. Congress granted the SEC the energy to bring civil moves against folks who have violated any securities laws.¹⁵

In its regulatory function, all public groups are required to post to the SEC quarterly and annual financial reviews and enterprise executives are required to put up an affidavit of administration plans for the upcoming year. When the SEC starts offevolved its initial investigation on a doable violation, the agency will send out both comment or "no action" letters. Comment letters are sent directly to the corporations figuring out feasible violations, inquiring for a response from the company. In the alternative, "no action" letters demand the company engage in a precise action, if they fail to comply, then the SEC will pursue similarly action, such as initiating a civil go well with or arbitration. As stated earlier, Congress granted the SEC the electricity solely to carry civil actions for securities violations. However, if the SEC body of workers determines a crook prosecution is a practicable option, they will suggest that the Justice Department pursue crook proceedings. It is in the Department of Justice's discretion to pursue such criminals and if they do, the SEC works intently with them to prosecute those men and women and firms who violate criminal laws.

The SEC can disburse collected civil penalties and illegally bought corporate profits.¹⁶

Originally, this area used to be delivered to the Act in order to create a fund for Enron employees to get better some of their losses as a end result of the moves of Enron and Arthur Andersen. In the first 5 years after Sarbanes-Oxley, the SEC got orders to disgorge \$1.6 billion per yr well worth of earnings and assessed \$1.1 billion a year in penalties. The SEC's series energy additionally extends to third parties.

Profits obtained from funding financial institution or accounting charges can be certain as income that might also be disgorged. Furthermore, the SEC's strength beneath Section 308 allows the agency to catch company executive's compensation mainly "performance-based bonuses as nicely as any income derived from buying and selling in the company's securities whilst the fraud used to be ongoing."¹⁷

Limitations Research has shown that one out of every ten SEC instances that are at the beginning investigated are advocated to the Justice Department for further processing. A study accomplished in 1984 observed that United States attorneys only prosecuted 26% of all instances referred to them for prosecution through the SEC. In all, nearly 48% of instances that were recommended for prosecution by means of the SEC had been no longer prosecuted and by no means went to trial. The low rate of prosecution can be attributed to lack of resources and the inherent problem in investigating a white collar crime. The nature of white collar crimes and fraud cases may additionally require knowledge or one-of-a-kind ability in a sure vicinity outdoor the trip of some district attorneys. Their inexperience can put them and their prosecution of the case at a serious disadvantage.¹⁸

On the other hand, defense attorneys representing their customers charged with securities violations begin their investigation and training as quickly as the SEC investigation begins. Many instances defendants employ a litany of experts to furnish professional counseling and to assist in the case. The SEC's low prosecution rate can also be attributed to different variables. resources. The employer is notoriously understaffed and commands confined Furthermore, the SEC is criticized for being too lenient in opposition to securities violations.¹⁹

The SEC is the United State's predominant regulatory organisation that video display units an ever growing securities and stock market. White collar crimes are becoming greater state-of-the-art and as a result tougher to become aware of and investigate. Because of the multiplied difficulties faced, Congress have to understand the demand positioned on the corporation and amplify the federal price range allowance for the Commission. Without help of additional assets and staff, the SEC will continue to lag far in the back of and, as considered with the more current scandals, white collar crimes left undetected and unhindered can motive astronomical losses.

¹⁷ Ibid, p. 110.

¹⁸ Ibid, p. 111.

¹⁹ Ibid, p. 111.

3. Main rules in USA

The RICO statute was once mounted by way of Title IX of the Organized Crime Control Act in 1970 to supply better criminal penalties and set up a new civil motive of action for acts carried out as a section of an ongoing criminal organization.²⁰ The statute has a large scope: it makes it unlawful "to acquire, operate, or acquire profits from an agency through a sample of racketeering activity."

RICO has been applied to a wide variety of cases. The reason mentioned in the starting of the Act used to be to seek the eradication of organized crimes in the United States by means of strengthening the legal tools in the proof gathering process, by means of establishing new penal prohibitions, and by using providing stronger sanctions and new redress to deal with the illegal things to do of those engaged in geared up crime.²¹

Thus, business companies have been prosecuted under the RICO statute. The New York Times published an opinion in 1989, declaring that RICO is amongst the few high quality equipment in opposition to economic crime. Law enforcers have used its more suitable crook penalties against insider trading and government corruption. Civil RICO has been a powerful device towards white-collar crime. Civil RICO moves have been used with the aid of notably unsophisticated events like middle income households victimized by way of home fraud to get better damages when there were no different alternatives. Unlike criminal prosecution, most civil moves for white collar offenses are added by means of personal plaintiffs.²²

RICO's statutory language allows for a large application of the Act. Under the statute, one can be criminally responsible if he is phase of an enterprise that has committed a sample of racketeering, even even though such recreation was accomplished by using others in the enterprise. forty eight Racketeering is defined underneath the statute as "any act or risk involving murder, kidnapping, gambling, arson, robbery, bribery, extortion or dealing in narcotic or different unsafe drugs, which is chargeable under country law and punishable with the aid of imprisonment for greater than one year."

Additionally, the statute lists other federal offenses such as bribery, sports activities bribery, counterfeiting, embezzlement, loan sharking, mail fraud, wire fraud, obstruction of justice, contraband of cigarettes, prostitution, trafficking of people, financial disaster fraud, drug violations and obscenity. The central thing of a RICO crime is proof of a sample of unlawful offenses. In order to be indicted of racketeering, a person need to be a member of an agency that has committed two or more crimes listed in the Act within the span of ten years.

The consequences of racketeering prices are two-fold.²³

First, racketeering offers prosecutors a platform to show that the defendant is

²⁰ Ibid, p. 111.

²¹ Ibid, p. 111.

²² Ibid, p. 112.

²³ Ibid, p. 114.

involved in a pattern of illegal activities that may in any other case be inadmissible in court. In general, a court can also no longer permit previous convictions into evidence in a proceeding, as it may be regarded inadmissible propensity evidence.

However, with the RICO statute, the prosecutor may additionally produce proof of previous convictions to exhibit participation in a racketeering enterprise.

Second, racketeering prices make bigger the penalty for crook offenses for the reason that the crime is committed by an agency engaged in racketeering. The penalties are greater than the trendy punishment for the crook offense. A racketeering cost brings a most best of \$25,000 and a twenty year jail sentence per charge. RICO also allows the authorities to catch any property that it believes was acquired from or purchased via illegal racketeering activities. Additionally, the statute gives causes of motion for personal plaintiffs and the government. Private plaintiffs can request courts to catch assets, order sanctions, or supply injunctive alleviation against organizations or humans involved in RICO crimes. The RICO civil motion manner allows courts to pressure defendants to "forfeit any pastime in property, restrict a defendant from enticing in certain future things to do or investments, or dissolve or reorganize an enterprise."

RICO prevents a individual from the usage of finances that had been generated from unlawful racketeering things to do that affect interstate commerce. Finally, private plaintiffs who are successful can gather treble damages.

In Practice RICO has been quite an wonderful statute and is used regularly with the aid of federal prosecutors.²⁴

As formerly noted, the prosecution of white collar crimes is an onerous task. In the criminal context, prosecutors need to prove their case beyond a life like doubt. Especially for cases dealing with state-of-the-art businesses with a range of actors, the prosecutors ought to sift thru a mountain of evidence to find what is applicable and admissible.

However, there are doable issues of gathering proof from criminal organizations. When the government decides to pursue a RICO charge, the United States lawyer obtains a pre-trial restraining order or preliminary injunction seizing the defendant's property and restricting the defendant's get entry to to certain property. A RICO defendant is on occasion required to put up a overall performance bond to make sure that if observed guilty that there will be collateral. These pre-trial seizures of business enterprise property can have devastating consequences on every day enterprise activity.²⁵

Because of the commercially crippling results of RICO, many defendants are compelled into a decision of defending their case, and potentially having their business grind to a halt or pleading responsible to lesser charges, even if innocent, sincerely to avoid pre-trial seizures. In the twenty-year span between 1970-1990, over one thousand criminals have been convicted and sentenced beneath the RICO standards.

²⁴ Ibid, p. 115.

²⁵ Ibid, p. 115.

The worry of having the property frozen may additionally result in defendant businesses to plead guilty to lesser costs even if they agree with they have executed nothing wrong. As cited earlier, the standard purpose of RICO was once to curb organized criminal activities associated with the mafia organizations.

Thus, RICO prosecution of the defendant attaches the social stigma that a man or woman or agency is involved with prepared crime, specifically the mafia. This stigma can be hazardous to the defendant's recognition and community goodwill.²⁶

Because of RICO's huge scope, manageable defendants can also unknowingly be associated with these criminal companies or the defendants should be basically white collar criminals, with no mafia connection. Finally because of its accelerated utility in commercial contexts, RICO's stronger punishment scheme as applied to white collar criminals derogates from authentic Congressional intent in punishing folks worried in the mafia. Because RICO can be used in prosecution of white collar crimes, the treble damages feature is misplaced and punishes a defendant too severely. Arguably, pursuing treble damages may also violate the 8th Amendment of the United States Constitution.²⁷

The Act requires extra responsibilities for publicly held businesses to make sure compliance with securities laws and inspire transparency and accuracy in their public monetary disclosures. Along with heightened disclosure requirements, the Act also codified superior penalties for securities violations.

To stop a fraud on the scale of Enron from ever taking place again, Sarbanes-Oxley set up the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), which is responsible for oversight, regulation, and self-discipline of accounting corporations when auditing public companies.

The PCAOB is an unbiased board that problems recommendations to define the tactics auditing companies have to follow and enforces these measures within the reaches of Sarbanes Oxley. Holding auditing firms guilty for their movements and monitoring for conflicts of interest assist ensure that the audits conducted are trustworthy.

The statute compels new responsibilities on public firms and their executives, auditors, securities analysts and attorneys. The Act does now not apply to private companies. One essential final result after Sarbanes-Oxley is that company CEOs are for my part responsible for the veracity of the company's monetary documents and any misrepresentation on these statements should end result in criminal liability.²⁸

One of the primary targets in passing Sarbanes-Oxley was once to give a boost to criminal fraud accountability and create person legal responsibility for deception on monetary statements. Not solely did Sarbanes-Oxley broaden the scope of liability, however it also more advantageous the penalty for white collar crimes. The section, appropriately named the White Collar Crime Penalty Enhancement Act, increases the punishment for attempt and conspiracy to commit fraud, heightens the

²⁶ Ibid, p. 116.

²⁷ Ibid, p. 117.

²⁸ Ibid, p. 118.

maximum penalty for certain white collar offenses, creates statutory legal responsibility for mail and wire fraud, and establishes individual company duty for monetary statements. The Act codified precise penalties for company fraud and tampering. The sentencing recommendations had been amended to increase penalties for other crimes and enable the SEC to temporarily catch large repayments identified as criminal. More importantly, the penalty enhancement portion of the Act approves courts to amplify the sentence based on the damages on a case through case basis.²⁹

Many argue that complying with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act is pricey and has detrimental effects on groups running in the United States. Studies have been performed to estimate the actual price of compliance with the Act. However, the real monetary value of complying with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act is hard to calculate due to exterior variables that have an effect on public companies and the stock market. Financial Executives International estimated the prices of interior controls required by using the Act. In their 2007 survey of one hundred sixty eight agencies averaging revenues of \$4.7 billion, the average price of compliance was once \$1.7 million. Critics argue that Sarbanes-Oxley has a bad impact on businesses and the United States economy. After the enactment of the Act, the rate of United States businesses deregistering from the American inventory change tripled.

A 12 months after Sarbanes-Oxley used to be introduced over three hundred companies revised their profits report. The Act has been credited as restoring investor self belief via forcing extra correct and dependable financial disclosure through companies. The Act creates additional incentives for company executives to take ownership of their company's representations to the public. Finally, the Act addressed and prohibits any conceivable war of interests an auditor can also face when he is given a consulting contract with the aid of the firm he is to audit.³⁰

In three years between 2002 and 2005, there had been over seven hundred company crime convictions in the United States. As compared to prior times, the punishments for the identical crimes are harsher and white collar criminals are serving longer sentences. The current style in ratcheting up the punishment for crimes is supposed to serve as an instance to deter future criminals. The United States authorities has set a clear precedent that "financial malpractice" on corporate sales can constitute serious crimes and will be prosecuted. Before the Federal Sentencing Guidelines have been passed, white collar criminals have been penalized below the same schemes as non-white collar criminals. One study, achieved by means of John Hagan, Ilene Nagel and Celesta Albonetti, looked at the distinction in sentencing for white collar criminals. The team factored into their assessment defendants who had a university schooling and earnings as a replacement for white collar status. The assessment showed that indeed white collar criminals were given lighter sentences than criminals committing non-white collar offenses.

Coupled with the improved penalties codified by using RICO and Sarbanes Oxley, the Federal Guidelines grant a regime of multiplied penalties for white collar

²⁹ Ibid, p. 118.

³⁰ Ibid, p. 119.

criminals, in order to deter doable white collar criminals.³¹

4. Rules in Great Britain

Although the damages due to fraud are astronomic, the punishment of white collar criminals was once lax.³²

The Attorney General talking in front of an target market at the 21st International Symposium on Economic Crime in 2003 said, It is top notch how often white-collar defendants get hold of non custodial and suspended sentences no matter committing serious economic crime . . . Social equality requires that we bear down on white collar crime as efficaciously as on blue collar crime, such as fraud in obtaining social security. After a sequence of high profile scandals a great deal like the events in the United States, white collar crime grew to be the focus of public attention. In 2006, the United Kingdom mounted the Serious Organised Crime Agency (SOCA), which focused on lowering the damage brought about by means of criminals instead than act as a regulation enforcing body. With the extended awareness that white collar crime was a problem in the United Kingdom, quite a few solutions arose. As a result, a range of corporations had been hooked up that are accountable for the detection, investigation and prosecution of white collar and corporate crimes. Two of these agencies, the Serious Fraud Office (SFO) and the Financial Services Authority (FSA), are charged with monitoring and investigating industrial crimes in the United Kingdom. In addition to establishing corporations to display economic crimes, Parliament created Serious Crime Prevention Orders as a preemptive step in white collar crime enforcement. The orders enable Courts to preemptively act upon suspicious activities, which led or potentially may want to lead to white collar crimes. The new prevention orders are in contrast with the traditional mode of company regulation and prosecution of securities laws.³³

The Preamble of the SCA defines the motive of the statute to make provisions about serious crime prevention orders; to create offences in respect of the encouragement or assistance of crime; to allow statistics to be shared or processed to prevent fraud or for purposes relating to proceeds of crime ... to switch to the features of the Director of the Assets Recovery Agency to the Serious Organised Crime Agency. These "orders" are court docket imposed to avert the actions of persons, corporate bodies, partnerships, and unincorporated associations who are "involved in serious crimes." SCPOs cover persons who are criminally "involved in a serious crime," who may additionally have "facilitated the commission of a serious offence," or even who are "likely to facilitate the fee of an offence (intentional or otherwise)."³⁴

Before SCPOs, the prosecutor in the United Kingdom used to be left with a challenging selection when identifying whether or not to proceed with or drop a case.

³¹ Ibid, p. 120.

³² Ibid, p. 120.

³³ Ibid, p. 121.

³⁴ Ibid, p. 121.

Parliament recognized that this "all or nothing" approach, which left the prosecutor with many quasi-actionable situations, intended instances have been inefficiently prosecuted or wrongfully dropped.

The authorities believed that a SCPO "fills a hole in the law to deal with humans who are concerned in serious crime and who, for one motive or another, cannot be satisfactorily controlled by way of different judicial or administrative processes."³⁵

Anti-social Behaviour Orders are civil orders like SCPO, however are used to stop persons from "carrying out antisocial acts that are likely to reason harassment, alarm, or distress to some other person." An SCPO request can be made to the High or Crown Court in England if two requirements are met. First, the Court must be "satisfied that a character has been involved in serious crime; and secondly it [the High or Crown Court] has real looking grounds to agree with that the order would guard the public via preventing, restricting, or disrupting, involvement by using the character in serious crime." The request hearing for an SCPO does no longer have the strict evidentiary standards of a criminal proceeding and can reflect onconsideration on data that would not be admissible otherwise. The supply of an SCPO has to meet the goal criteria of the commission of a serious crime, whilst the second requirement is up to the discretion of the High Court.³⁶

High Courts are given vast leeway to problem a SCPO, issue to two exceptions: a minor under the age of 18 and any man or woman that falls underneath written exception with the aid of the Secretary of State are immune from a SCPO. The criminal trendy of proof entails that the country show its case past a sensible doubt.³⁷

A serious crime is defined as the fee of any offense in England that, at the time when the case is before the Court, it used to be designated as a serious crime under the Act or "is one which, in the particular circumstances of the case, the courtroom considers to be sufficiently serious to be treated for the purposes of the utility or depend as if it were so specified." In drafting the wording of the Act, Parliament gave courts the probability to supply a SCPO to "sufficiently serious" circumstances. Thus, the Court has discretion to grant an SCPO even if the motion is not a serious crime defined within the Act.

When the Court analyzes whether or not a character has facilitated the fee of a crime, the Act directs Courts to brush aside "any act that the respondent can show to be lifelike in the circumstances; and issue to this, his intention, or any other factor of his mental state, at the time." As such, a individual can be situation to a SCPO if he encourages or assists the commission of an offense. A man or woman is accountable if he commits "an act succesful of encouraging or assisting the commission of an offence; and . . . he intends to inspire or assists its commission" or "he believes the offence will be committed; and that the act will encourage or help its commission."

³⁵ Ibid, p. 122.

³⁶ Ibid, p. 122.

³⁷ Ibid, p. 123.

Practically speaking, the SCA creates three offenses.³⁸

First, the Act makes a individual responsible if he "intends to inspire or to aid some other man or woman to commit an offense."

However, the character have to have the requisite intent for the crime to be committed.³⁹

The scope of an SCPO is largely up to the discretion of the Court and offers little training to what moves a SCPO prohibits. The Act approves the Court to issue tasks to the defendant, but offers little training to what is or is no longer allowed. The Court can also rationalize its provide of an SCPO under vast and sweeping justifications of "protection of the public." Clearly, Parliament granted the Courts super autonomy in issuing a giant range of SCPO's. A request for a SCPO can be made in the High Court or in the Crown Court.⁴⁰

The requisite preferred of proof is a civil standard. However, in practice, the courts will practice the crook preferred of proof The man or woman challenge to an SCPO, or the relevant authority towards a decision made, can appeal to the High or Crown Court. The Act provides examples of SCPOs that may additionally be issued to firms and individuals. Parliament estimated that SCPOs would have the strength over an individual's financial, property, or enterprise dealings, work arrangements, the ability and whom an man or woman communicates, get right of entry to of premises, the use of premises or objects by using an character and the individual's An SCPO can require an individual to answer questions, furnish information, or produce files precise in the order.

However, the Act prohibits SCPOs from requiring disclosure of privilege records and any of the information requested be furnished orally . For firms and other commercial enterprise entities, SCPOs have the power over a business' financial, property, or business dealings, the kinds of agreements they can enter, get entry to of premises, the use of any premises or items, and the employment of staff by way of that person.

If a man or woman fails to comply with the terms of the SCPO they are in violation of Section 25 of the 2007 Act.⁴¹

Under Section 26 of the Act, the Court requires the person to "forfeit whatever in the offender's possession at the time of the offence, which the Court considers to have been concerned in the offense." An person who violates the phrases of an SCPO faces "imprisonment for a time period now not exceeding 12 months or to a pleasant now not exceeding the statutory most or both."

If the conviction is on indictment, the jail sentence for a violation is a most of 5 years.⁴²

In certain circumstances, the Court even has the electricity to "wind up companies, partnerships, or a applicable body" beneath article 27 of the Act.

³⁸ Ibid, p. 123.

³⁹ Ibid, p. 123.

⁴⁰ Ibid, p. 124.

⁴¹ Ibid, p. 124.

⁴² Ibid, p. 125.

The SCPO has been criticized as a wide and far-reaching statute.

The statutory provision permits for a Court to difficulty a SCPO to a individual who "facilitated the fee of a serious offence" besides defining the scope of such a crime. Thus the Court has vast discretion to determine who is the difficulty of a SCPO and what the SCPO entails. Furthermore, there is no requirement that a crime be committed, only that it is "likely to facilitate the fee of an offense." A individual potentially should be difficulty of a SCPO even if he committed no affirmative act, however used to be possibly to facilitate a crime. This scenario is completely impartial of whether the crime definitely occurred.⁴³

The statute does now not require that the difficulty of the SCPO intend to facilitate the fee of the crime or was reckless about his acts and inadvertently facilitated in the crime. Because of the extensive definitions of the statute, the court arguably may want to problem a SCPO based merely on speculation. Coupled with the reality the prosecution only has to prove their case through the preponderance of the evidence, the conceivable for an erroneously granted SCPO is high. SCA permits for enormous flexibility in drafting the prerequisites of a SCPO. The Court drafts requirements, restrictions and prohibitions that are "necessary and proportionate." Finally, some other source of criticism is the length of a SCPO. The statutory provisions allow for a SCPO to final for up to 5 years.

With an order lasting up to five years, the results to one's professional and personal life can be drastic.⁴⁴

5. Rules in Canada

The perception of white-collar crime, both by policymakers and society, has evolved over time in Canada, and white-collar crime has increasingly become viewed as unacceptable and truly criminal behavior. With the passage of the White-Collar Crime Victims Protection Act in 2011, legislators amended the Criminal Code to provide a mandatory minimum sentence of two years for fraud over \$1 million.

Consider aggravating factors in determining sentencing, including the scale, complexity, duration, and degree of planning of the fraud; provide that a court will not consider the offender's employment or reputation as mitigating circumstances; and insist that, when sentencing, a court consider the issuance of a restitution order.⁴⁵

Furthermore, the law, which took effect in November 2012 under the Safe Streets and Communities Act, imposed restrictions on the use of conditional sentences, whereby an offender serves his or her sentence in the community under specific conditions imposed by section 742.3 of the Penal Code. This amendment made conditional sentences unavailable for offenses with any minimum prison sentence or for those with a maximum prison sentence of 14 years or more; this

⁴³ Ibid, p. 126.

⁴⁴ Ibid, p. 126.

⁴⁵ Michelle de Haas, „Punishing White-Collar crime in Canada: Issues with the economic model of crime and punishment”, *Alberta Law Review*, (2021), vol. 59, issue 1, p. 201-224, p. 211. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.29173/alr2669>.

includes several white-collar offenses, including fraud and grand theft over \$5,000.⁴⁶

Similarly, the Foreign Public Officials Bribery Act was amended in 2013 to increase the maximum sentence for bribery of foreign public officials to 14 years. The imposition of a mandatory minimum prison sentence and the restriction of conditional sentences demonstrate that, despite Miceli and Becker's calculated claim that fines and imprisonment can have a similar value or cost to an offender, Canada still views incarceration as a harsher punishment than economic sanctions, the threat of which should increase criminal deterrence.

There is evidence in several more recent cases over the past decade involving individual offenders who committed white-collar crimes and ultimately ended up in prison.⁴⁷

Furthermore, when the violations are committed by and attributed to corporations, courts cannot materially incarcerate them and therefore cannot impose monetary penalties or restrictions on their market activity.

In the corporate context, the 2018 amendments to the Criminal Code suggest that Canada is taking a position which is based on economic sanctions, in which wrongdoing is committed by a corporation rather than an individual. These amendments established "remediation agreements" for white-collar crimes committed by "organizations" in Canada, commonly referred to as deferred prosecution agreements (DPAs) in the US and UK.⁴⁸

The purpose of establishing remediation agreements is set out in section 715.31 of the Criminal Code: to denounce wrongdoing, hold organizations accountable through the imposition of sanctions, establish corrective measures and promote a culture of compliance, encourage voluntary disclosure of wrongdoing, provide redress to victims, and mitigate negative consequences for individuals not involved in the wrongdoing (such as employees). Under these agreements, an organization with a reasonable prospect of conviction for an economic crime, such as fraud, bribery, or insider trading, can avoid a criminal conviction by entering into an agreement with a prosecutor to perform specified tasks in exchange for a stay of proceedings.⁴⁹

6. Special aspects

The field of white-collar/corporate crime has been studied through pupils from many disciplinary fields. Yet, the ambiguity and These records have been suggested every year considering the fact that 1991 when the Sentencing Guidelines were promulgated. Data factors describe the organizational wrongdoer (including structure, size, and economic viability), the kind of offense for which the association used to be convicted, the mode of case adjudication, the kind of sanctions imposed (including

⁴⁶ Ibid, p. 212.

⁴⁷ Ibid, p. 212.

⁴⁸ Ibid, p. 213.

⁴⁹ Ibid, p. 213.

probation and court ordered compliance programs); and how the sentencing pointers have been applied.

Despite an uneven start,⁵⁰ the sentencing data furnish a beneficial window to the kinds of cases added in and the sentencing practices of the federal courts. Corporate offending facts also are gathered by regulatory agencies, such as the EPA, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC), and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Moreover, the failure to well known manipulate fraud as a social phenomenon can solely motivate these searching for to take advantage in at least two ways. First, the fact that there are no high quality mechanisms to stop control fraud allows actors to engage in a number crimes. Second, failing to understand the chances for manage fraud till an episode is well underway encourages lawbreaking, as formal manipulate systems will be overwhelmed via massive enforcement workloads.⁵¹

It has been estimated by customer organizations and labour unions that the latest corporate scandals have value Americans greater than \$200 billion in jobs, tax revenues, lost investment savings, and misplaced pensions. The seemingly inescapable but often unnoticed policy lesson supplied by these monetary debacles is that any proposals for reform and prevention, whether or not directed at credit insurance, monetary institutions, accounting, securities markets, or corporate governance, can't count on away the troubles of property that have no clear values, accounting abuses, or control frauds.⁵²

The FBI has created three classes of corporate fraud: Falsification of monetary information, including: false accounting entries; bogus trades designed to inflate profit or disguise losses; and false transactions designed to evade regulatory oversight.⁵³

Self-dealing via company insiders, including: in sider trading; kickbacks; backdating of executive inventory options; misuse of corporate property for non-public gain; and person tax violations re lated to self-dealing. Obstruction of justice designed to conceal any of the above-noted types of crook conduct, par ticularly when the obstruction impedes the in quiries of the SEC, different regulatory agencies, and/or regulation enforcement agencies.

Securities and commodities fraud is some other focal point for the FBI's White-Collar Crime Program.⁵⁴

In industries with long in vestment horizons, there can be less pressure, whilst

⁵⁰ Sally S. Simpson, „Making Sense of White-Collar Crime: Theory and Research”, *Ohio State Journal of Criminal Law*, (2011), vol. 8, no. 2, p. 484, <https://kb.osu.edu/server/api/core/bitstreams/fdee3097-06c7-5c88-92d0-24f5aa74e220/content>.

⁵¹ Henry N. Pontell, *White-Collar crime and major financial debacles in the United States*, US Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, NCJRS Virtual Library, Resource Material Series, No. 67, (2005), p. 13, <https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/white-collar-crime-and-major-financial-debacles-united-states>.

⁵² Ibid, p. 13.

⁵³ Price, Marilyn & Donna M. Norris, „White-collar crime: corporate and securities and commodities fraud”. *The Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law*. 2009; 37(4):538-544. PMID: 20019002, p. 540.

⁵⁴ Ibid, p. 540.

in industries watching for quick payback periods, there may additionally be more emphasis on short-term performance. Fraud may additionally in this context be considered as a method of ensuring that the introduced company income suit analysts' forecasts.

Industry attention can end result in much less scrutiny and discourage oversight. This lack of manage may additionally act to discourage personnel whomaywanttoquestion a direction that looks to min imize any ethics-related concerns.

A dinamic environment within an industry can also encourage fraud.⁵⁵

Successin a weakening industry is rewarded, with few questions asked. When a association is failing, communication concerning earnings can be restricted, ensuing in less oversight through outsiders. This centralization makes it less difficult for the senior membersofthefirmto commitfraudwithlessriskof exposure. The environmental dynamism can affect the threat of fraud. Industries that make investments heavily in new ven tures danger decrease non permanent profits, which may also gas efforts to conceal downturns. Environmental heteroge neity might also require reliance on the decisions of man agers in every of the multiple specialised corporations Because of this diversification, control may additionally be ceded to each busi ness. Less central oversight can lead to increased oppor tunity to conceal fraudulent behavior. It has been suggested that there are a number of organi zation-specific pressures that make contributions to the inci dence of white-collar crime. In particular, for excessive level white-collar crime, organizational elements have been viewed to be operative.

Executives are extra prone to inflate estimates of earnings when contracts are tied to momentary performance.⁵⁶

Protective elements encompass a reliable auditing system, a strong impartial board of directors with monitor ing functions, backyard certified administrators and work ing committees, an organizational culture stressing ethical conduct, strict enforcement of ethics, a rigor ous corporate compliance program, and senior lead ership dedication to ethical conduct. Although white-collar crime happens in the context of societal and organizational pressures, it still comes down to an individual's preference and the impact of more than one elements such as age, experience, education, and positive character characteristics. Younger managers are viewed the most inclined crew as they are seeking for advancement. Older executives may also re principal vulnerable if there is a situation about maintaining a position, but, in general, age is considered as a shielding element related with extra thoughtful choice making.

There are complex relationships with the degree of ride and education. Males are consid ered to be more willing to interact in criminal con duct. Certain personality traits are considered to be associated with white-collar criminals, consisting of a competitive personality. Other personality factors have been considered. The combination of high stages of extroversion coupled with low ranges of self-control is considered as a chance element for offending. In addition, profiles of the "disagree

⁵⁵ Ibid, p. 541.

⁵⁶ Ibid, p. 541.

capable businessman” and the “neurotic” have been advanced to give an explanation for how other types of character characteristics can be linked to white-collar crime.

There has been much hypothesis concerning the character characteristics predisposing to white-collar crime, however there have been few latest research concerning the persona characteristics of white-collar criminals. It has been theorized that they are charismatic, have a need-to-control, have a tendency to bully subordinates, worry dropping their popularity and position, showcase narcissistic tendencies, and lack integrity and a social conscience.⁵⁷

There used to be hypothesis that in contrast to the leaders, the followers may additionally have been deterred from attractive in criminal behavior had they been exposed to a specific company climate—one with management stressing ethical conduct and education, supplying monitoring in the workplace, and enforcing controls through sturdy oversight.

Another category of white-collar crime includes what might be referred to as fraud towards government, once more the use of fraud as a broad idea encompassing misleading behaviors.⁵⁸

The large the cutting-edge regulatory kingdom grows, and the greater dollars it collects and dispenses, the extra possibilities arise to cheat it. Contracting fraud of course dominates this category, particularly fraud in the pur go well with of contracts related to widespread modern defense budgets. These instances range from particularly simple situations of rigging bids to borderline situations involving conflicts of interest in the awarding of subcontracts. Another large realm of crook enforcement undertaking in recent decades, leading to billions of greenbacks in settlements with corporations, has been fraud with the aid of hospital corporations, pharmaceutical manufacturers, and different corporations doing enterprise in whole or in part thru government-supported healthcare programs. Tax evasion and tax fraud incorporate some other foremost place in which the criminal regulation addresses cheating of the government.

Two matters are unusual, and perhaps incredibly surprising, about criminal tax prosecutions in the United States.⁵⁹

Both have to do with the truth that tax laws have grown more and more complex and are crammed with relatively arbitrary strains that are the product of compromises in legislation, rule-making, and ever greater contentious budgetary negotiations. First, dishonest on taxes is prosecuted fairly rarely. The government prefers to take care of these things civilly.

The government usually chooses for criminal prosecution only these tax payers who have now not simply failed to file profits or taken excessive deductions however who have pursued affirmative measures to cover and misrepresent their funds earlier than the Internal Revenue Service. One explanation for the small

⁵⁷ Ibid, p. 542.

⁵⁸ Samuel W. Buell, 'White Collar' Crime, in *Oxford Handbook of Criminal Law* 837-861 (M. Dubber & T. Hornle eds., 2014), p. 850. Available at: https://scholarship.law.duke.edu/faculty_scholarship/3348.

⁵⁹ Ibid, p. 850.

quantity of executions has been that the authorities depends intuitively on an idea that has been explored in academic theory: that too many prosecutions for tax evasion may want to ship the signal to the public that dishonest is rampant and that those who continue to pay their taxes are “chumps.”⁶⁰

It can't be disputed that the authorities has very limited sources relative to the enormity of the taxation machine and that tax compliance in the United States is in reality, though no longer in law, in truth established on the people's precise faith.

Secondly, the courts have developed unique mens rea policies for criminal tax cases. The principle that lack of knowledge of the regulation is no excuse has been cozy in tax cases with the aid of capacity of the federal courts' interpretation of Congress's use of the time period “willfully” in tax statutes to imply the intent to violate a recognised legal duty. The complexity and lack of simple common sense in tax regulation have been stated to defeat the traditional intent that humans are fairly expected to comprehend the law. Such rulings for sure deter prosecutors from bringing criminal tax cases. However, it have to be cited that the authorities has been inclined to take on, with some success, the profitable and aggressive tax shelter enterprise with criminal prosecutions, inclusive of of advisors employed with the aid of major law and accounting firms. Particularly in view of the theme of embeddedness, it is no trifle that the government has sent greater than one associate of a country wide law association off to jail for giving opinions on tax shelters that were found to have crossed the line from felony recommendation to counseling crime.

Another category of fraud against the government, once more talking broadly, includes offenses towards administration of judicial and regulatory systems.⁶¹

Federal prosecutors generate an extraordinarily large number of cases annually involving fees of perjury, obstruction of justice, false statements in professional authorities matters, contempt, and the famously amorphous “conspiracy to defraud [the government of] the United States.” As with tax evasion, the share of such prosecutions to the likely incidence of, for example, mendacity in the courts need to be quite low. Perhaps here too prosecutors are reluctant, in their efforts to manage perceptions about deterrence, to bring too many cases. Prosecutorial discretion in this place is quite extensive and, frankly, unprincipled, producing steady criticism.

Instead, prosecutors appear to pursue such cases for two reasons that every now and then overlap.⁶²

First, the power of the human impulse to lie and cowl up almost guarantees that, as soon as prosecutors commence any serious investigation, they will quit up with some proof of perjury, obstruction of justice, or false statements that can guide a criminal prosecution even if the depend that started out the investigation by no means bears evidentiary fruit or used to be no longer a crime in the first place.

Secondly, prosecutors appear to prefer pursuit of such cases, rather than declination, when the possible defendants are famous or possibly to garner media

⁶⁰ Ibid, p. 851.

⁶¹ Ibid, p. 851.

⁶² Ibid, p. 852.

attention, perhaps in the trust that deterrence is difficult to come by means of in this region so message concerns have to dominate.

The regulation governing crimes against the administration of justice, which is exceedingly broad, contributes to troubles of prosecutorial discretion and line-drawing. The conventional federal obstruction statute makes it a crime to intrude with “the due administration of justice.” Another famous statute treats as a felony any false oral or written statement in any federal authorities matter, whether or no longer the protect ant knew of the government’s involvement.

A current statute, enacted after disputes in the early 2000s over report shredding and deletion in a couple of important accounting and securities firms, in a similar way criminalizes the destruction of any document relevant to a federal government matter.⁶³

Just as a larger government elements greater opportunities to cheat the state, a larger authorities employs more human beings who may additionally encompass now not solely the public-spirited but additionally the venal. Corruption, whether blanketed via bribery, extortion, or different laws, has been in many instances criminalized considering that at least the nineteenth century.

But the rely has grown plenty more complex with the scale of personal financing of contemporary U.S. politics.⁶⁴

U.S. criminal regulation has dealt with the line-drawing hassle in this realm via doctrine that identifies corruption, below the most typically deployed statutes, as the giving of some thing of cost with the intent to impact legit motion pursuant to a “quid seasoned quo,” that is, a contract-like grasp that fee x is in return for professional decision.

In current reforms to its bribery laws, the United Kingdom has followed a comparable approach.⁶⁵

Given the practical difficulties of proof that attend such quid pro quo requirements, this would possibly be a plausible means of filtering cases. But it is an imperfect solution to the boundary problem in corruption. Conceptually, if no longer doctrinally, it stays tough to provide an explanation for the distinction between these two cases: paying a member of Congress to vote in opposition to a proposed law to regulate banks more strongly; and usually sending hundreds of marketing campaign contributions to the same member with the sensible expectation, primarily based on previous performance, that the member will vote towards all such proposed laws.

Probably this is because Americans do not have a clear thought of how to specify what they suppose corruption definitely is, in spite of the steady use of informal metaphors about “clean government,” “sewers,” and the like.⁶⁶

Protecting residents from corruption in authorities institutions that fail meaningfully to symbolize them or police themselves, the argument goes, is akin to

⁶³ Ibid, p. 852.

⁶⁴ Ibid, p. 853.

⁶⁵ Ibid, p. 853.

⁶⁶ Ibid, p. 854.

using federal energy to guard citizens from violations of their civil rights when states fail to furnish that assurance. Because Congress lacks the constitutional strength to modify nation and neighborhood government directly, pursuit of this agenda has required legislative and prosecutorial creativity. Federal bribery statutes are utilized to nation and neighborhood government institutions that use federal funds.

Or federal fraud statutes are applied on the principle that country and nearby officials owe a “duty of honest services” to their constituents.⁶⁷

Some believe, with occasional sympathy from the Supreme Court, that these extensions of federal criminal law, rather than defending citizens from kingdom and nearby graft, threaten to deprive the people of their rights and authority to manage their very own governance.

Secondly, the law of bribery is venturing more regularly into worldwide waters, the place it is encountering robust and unpredictable pass currents. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the U.K. Bribery Act 2010, alongside with similar legal guidelines adopted via other developed economies pursuant to treaty obligations, show up to are seeking to eradicate the sort of rent-seeking via officers in creating economies that had long been an prevalent price of doing commercial enterprise abroad. Indeed, the Department of Justice has said that its aggressive recent enforcement undertaking in this vicinity is meant to exchange international business culture.

The complication, of course, is that legal strains that are challenging to draw come to be even trickier to make out as one contains doctrine around the globe, traversing diverse normative environments. One country’s thinking of graft may additionally be any other country’s notion of efficient bureaucracy. Corruption in government can be entrenched and hard to dislodge. Social norms are even tougher to alter quickly.

Complicating things are puzzling questions about whose pastimes are advanced with the aid of a international marketing campaign in opposition to corruption in the conduct of enterprise with governments.⁶⁸

Two principal influences probable perpetuate ambivalence toward white-collar crime, and hence contribute to boundary issues in crime definition and pendulum swings in sentencing law and practice. First, a capitalist financial system—and the United States is history’s leading example—by definition requires residents to consider in two conflicting thoughts at once. Entrepreneurs should be unleashed to create wealth however penned in lest their pursuit of self-interest generate regrettable and dangerous externalities. In the morally charged realm of criminal law, this makes probably a public belief that pursuit of wealth can be both useful or repulsive, and concern in virtually appreciation or seeing the difference between the two. Greed is both exact and bad. Secondly, robust U.S. discourse about the notion of equality plays out in complex methods when it comes to white-collar crime. On the one hand,

⁶⁷ Ibid, p. 854.

⁶⁸ Ibid, p. 854.

regulation should treat no one greater favorably due to the fact of her social class.⁶⁹

Public corruption is a form of white-collar crime that involves a breach of trust or abuse of office by federal, state, or local government officials.

Public corruption poses a fundamental threat to national security and the way of life.

Financial crimes committed by white-collar criminals can be classified into four categories: fraud, theft, manipulation, and bribery.

Corruption can be defined as the offering, offering, promising, soliciting, receiving, taking, agreeing to take, or accepting an improper advantage in terms of money or other benefits related to one's position, function, or duties.⁷⁰

A person or group is guilty of corruption if they accept money or other benefits to do something that they are otherwise obligated to do or that they are obligated not to do, or to exercise a legitimate discretion for improper reasons.

Corruption is the destruction or perversion of a person's integrity or fidelity in the performance of his or her duty, it is to induce a person to act dishonestly, it is to bribe.

Both the briber and the receiver are criminals in most jurisdictions, such as the United States and Western Europe.

In some countries, only the recipient of the bribe is considered and treated as a criminal.⁷¹

Transparency International defines corruption as the abuse of power for personal gain. In the case of public corruption, private gain is interpreted more broadly to include gains accrued by the government official, family members, close friends, political party, favorite charity, hometown, or a corporate or other entity in which the official or the official's family or close friends have a financial or social interest.

A public official is any official or employee of the government, including legislators and judges, and any person who participates as a juror, advisor, consultant, or otherwise in the performance of a government function.

From an economic perspective, corruption is generally defined as the abuse of a position of authority for personal or private gain, where the abuse usually constitutes a violation of legal norms.⁷²

Corruption involves certain conduct on the part of public or private sector officials, whereby they improperly and illegally enrich themselves and/or those close to them, or induce others to do so, through the abuse of their position.

Corruption covers a wide range of illegal activities, such as bribery, embezzlement, and extortion.

⁶⁹ Ibid, p. 860.

⁷⁰ Gottschalk, Petter & Smith, Christy. (2016). „Detection of White-Collar Corruption in Public Procurement in Norway: The Role of Whistleblowers”. *International Journal of Procurement Management*, 9(4), p. 6, <https://digitalcommons.newhaven.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1002&context=publicadministration-facpubs>.

⁷¹ Ibid, p. 6.

⁷² Ibid, p. 7.

This is a distinction between the bribed and the briber, where the briber is an individual beneficiary of the corruption, while the bribed is an organizational beneficiary of the corruption.

Section 201 of Title 18 of the United States Code regulates the crimes of bribery and illegal gratuity.

To prove corruption, the government must generally establish that something of value was given, offered or promised (or, in the case of a recipient, requested, sought, received or accepted by), a current or future public official, for an official act, with intent to corrupt or the intent to influence (or be influenced by).⁷³

7. Conclusions

While the direct victims of white-collar crime, such as defrauded investors or embezzled companies, bear the brunt of the financial losses, the effects of these crimes extend much further. White-collar crime often creates a ripple effect that goes beyond theoretical boundaries.

First, the erosion of trust is a significant consequence.

When businesspeople or public officials engage in white-collar crime, it can undermine trust in the institutions they represent.

This lack of trust can stifle economic growth, discourage investment, and fuel social and political unrest.

Second, these crimes can distort competition in the marketplace.

Companies that gain an unfair advantage through fraudulent activities disrupt the level playing field, which can lead to inefficiencies and market stagnation.

Finally, white-collar crime can contribute to social inequality. Those with wealth and power often have greater opportunities to commit such crimes and are sometimes better equipped to evade justice, exacerbating social inequalities.

Bibliography

1. Buell, Samuel W., 'White Collar' Crime, in *Oxford Handbook of Criminal Law* 837-861 (M. Dubber & T. Hornle eds., 2014). Available at: https://scholarship.law.duke.edu/faculty_scholarship/3348.
2. Cliff, Gerald & Christian Desilets, „White Collar Crime: What It Is and Where It's Going”, *Notre Dame Journal of Law, Ethics & Public Policy*, 2014, Volume 28 Issue 2, available at: <https://scholarship.law.nd.edu/ndjlepp/vol28/iss2/3>.
3. Gottschalk, Petter & Smith, Christy. (2016). „Detection of White-Collar Corruption in Public Procurement in Norway: The Role of Whistleblowers”. *International Journal of Procurement Management*, 9(4), <https://digitalcommons.newhaven.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1002&context=publicadministration-facpubs>.
4. Graham, Megan, "White Collar Crime and the United States' Economy" (2012). *Honors Theses and Capstones*. 49. University of New Hampshire, <https://scholars.unh.edu/honors/49>.

⁷³ Ibid, p. 8.

5. Haas, Michelle de, „Punishing White-Collar crime in Canada: Issues with the economic model of crime and punishment”, *Alberta Law Review*, (2021), vol. 59, issue 1, p. 201-224. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.29173/alr2669>.
6. Huynh, Daniel (2010) "Preemption v. Punishment: A Comparative Study of White Collar Crime Prosecution in the United States and the United Kingdom," *Journal of International Business and Law*: Vol. 9: Iss. 1, Article 5, pp. 105-135, available at: <https://scholarlycommons.law.hofstra.edu/jibl/vol9/iss1/5>.
7. LaBrie, Rachel, "White-Collar Crime: Diversity and Discrimination in Sentencing" (2022). *Honors Projects*. 161. Seattle Pacific University, <https://digitalcommons.spu.edu/honorsprojects/161>.
8. Martinez, Joseph P., "Unpunished criminals: The social acceptability of white collar crimes in America" (2014). Senior Honors Theses & Projects. Eastern Michigan University 382, <https://commons.emich.edu/honors/382>.
9. Pontell, Henry N., *White-Collar crime and major financial debacles in the United States*, US Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, NCJRS Virtual Library, Resource Material Series, No. 67, (2005), <https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/white-collar-crime-and-major-financial-debacles-united-states>.
10. Price, Marilyn & Donna M. Norris, „White-collar crime: corporate and securities and commodities fraud”. *The Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law*. 2009; 37(4): 538-544. PMID: 20019002.
11. Simpson, Sally S., „Making Sense of White-Collar Crime: Theory and Research”, *Ohio State Journal of Criminal Law*, (2011), vol. 8, no. 2, <https://kb.osu.edu/server/api/core/bitstreams/fdee3097-06c7-5c88-92d0-24f5aa74e220/content>.